FARAD CONTINUTION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
Writ Petition No.930l of 2005

Office Notes,Office Memorandum of Coram appearence,Court's Orders & Directions and Registrar's Order	Court or Judge's Order

---

Mr V.N.Tayade for petitioner
Ms P Tendulkar with M S Karnik for respondent .no.2
Mr R.D Rane GP for State
Mr.P.B.Shivsath for respondent no.3

CORAM:V.G.PALSHIKAR AND

V.R.KINGAOKAR JJ.

DATED l0.4.2006

P.C.

. By this petition, petitioner claims a writ of mandamus directing respondents to grant approval to the petitioner's appointment. Notice as to why the petition should not be admitted was issued and reply has been filed by the respondents wherein it is categorically observed that the petitioner has alternate remedy of approaching the one man grievance committee appointed by the State. The petitioner therefore has effective alternate remedy to claim approval to

his appointment before the committee making a grievance of the fact that though the petitioner appears to be entitled to such relief it is not being granted. Inspite of petitioner being not successful before that committee, further remedy is open to the petitioner. Hence permitting the petitioner to avail those remedies, petition disposed of.

(V.G.Palshikar J)

(V.R.Kingaokar J)